UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/584,359	06/23/2006	Masaki Inoue	4265-0071WOUS	3372	
	7590 04/17/200 , PAULDING & HUB	EXAMINER			
CITY PLACE I	Í	JOHNSON, MATTHEW A			
185 ASYLUM STREET HARTFORD, CT 06103			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			3656		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
		04/17/2009	PAPER		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
10/584,359	INOUE ET AL.	
Examiner	Art Unit	
MATTHEW A. JOHNSON	3656	

	MATTHEW A. JOHNSON	3656	
The MAILING DATE of this communication app	ears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED <u>02 April 2009</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS AP	PLICATION IN CONDITION FOR A	LLOWANCE.	
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or o application, applicant must timely file one of the following application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Application (RCE) in compliance with 37 periods:	g replies: (1) an amendment, affidavi peal (with appeal fee) in compliance	t, or other evidence, w with 37 CFR 41.31; or	hich places the (3) a Request
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailir	ng date of the final rejection.		
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or	Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing	g date of the final rejection	n.
MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07		TINOTINEI ET WAOTII	LLD WITHIN TWO
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of e under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office late may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) NOTICE OF APPEAL	xtension and the corresponding amount shortened statutory period for reply origi er than three months after the mailing dat	of the fee. The appropria nally set in the final Offic	ate extension fee e action; or (2) as
2. ☐ The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in com	nliance with 37 CER 41 37 must be	filed within two months	s of the date of
filing the Notice of Appeal was filed on A blief in com- filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extension Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed was AMENDMENTS	ension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of the	
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection,	but prior to the date of filing a brief	will not be entered be	cause
(a) ☐ They raise new issues that would require further co			oddoo
(b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE bel	•	,,	
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in be appeal; and/or	etter form for appeal by materially rec	ducing or simplifying tl	ne issues for
(d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a))		ected claims.	
4. \square The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.		mpliant Amendment (I	PTOL-324).
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s		,	,
6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a	• ——	timely filed amendmer	nt canceling the
non-allowable claim(s).	·	•	-
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is protected. The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:		ll be entered and an e	xplanation of
Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to:			
Claim(s) rejected to:			
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:			
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE			
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, because applicant failed to provide a showing of good ar was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 			
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessa	overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appea	al and/or appellant fail:	s to provide a
10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation	on of the status of the claims after e	ntry is below or attach	ed.
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered be Applicant argues that mobile element (51) is not a posignication of position. The examiner notes that Applicar apparatus. Katsumi discloses in paragraph [0048-0049] Thus mobile element (51) does indeed provide indication paragraphs [0031], [0032] and [0049] that the threads (value of the position detection apparatus (at a time of in apparatus.	tion detection apparatus because the nt has not claimed any specific struct I that mobile element (51) follows the on of the position of the driving rod. I 45) and (51b) as well as the elastic	e mobile element prov ture regarding the pos e movement of the dri Katusmi further disclos member (52), coopera	ides no ition detection ving rod (15). ses in ate to adjust the
12. ☐ Note the attached Information <i>Disclosure Statement</i> (s). 13. ☐ Other:	(PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s).		

Continuation Sheet (PTOL-303)

/Richard WL Ridley/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 3656 /MATTHEW A JOHNSON/ Examiner, Art Unit 3656 Application No.

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 08-06)

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Part of Paper No. 20090415